

# Furstenberg boundary for discrete quantum groups

Roland Vergnioux

joint work with M. Kalantar, P. Kasprzak, A. Skalski

University of Normandy (France)

Delft, October 12, 2021

# Outline

- 1 **Classical facts**
  - Reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras
  - $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace
  - Boundary actions
- 2 **The quantum case**
  - Discrete quantum groups
  - Actions of quantum groups
  - Quantum Furstenberg boundary
  - The amenable radical
- 3 **An example**
  - Orthogonal free quantum groups
  - The Gromov boundary of  $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$
  - Unique stationarity
- 4 **Faithful boundary actions**
  - Uniqueness of trace

## Reduced group $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (discrete) group. Regular representation:

$$\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\ell^2\Gamma), \lambda(g)(\xi) = (h \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}h)).$$

**Reduced  $C^*$ -algebra:**  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span } \lambda(\Gamma)} \subset B(\ell^2(\Gamma)).$

Canonical trace:  $\tau(x) = (\xi_0 \mid x\xi_0)$  with  $\xi_0(h) = \delta_{h,e}$ ,  $\tau(\lambda(g)) = \delta_{g,e}$ .

Satisfies  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*x) \geq 0$ ,  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$  for  $x, y \in C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

## Reduced group $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (discrete) group. Regular representation:

$$\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\ell^2\Gamma), \lambda(g)(\xi) = (h \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}h)).$$

**Reduced  $C^*$ -algebra:**  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span}} \lambda(\Gamma) \subset B(\ell^2(\Gamma)).$

Canonical trace:  $\tau(x) = (\xi_0 \mid x\xi_0)$  with  $\xi_0(h) = \delta_{h,e}$ ,  $\tau(\lambda(g)) = \delta_{g,e}$ .

Satisfies  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*x) \geq 0$ ,  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$  for  $x, y \in C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

**$C^*$ -simplicity:**  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

**Unique Trace Property:**  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Examples: free group  $F_n$  [Powers 1975],  $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

## Reduced group $C^*$ -algebras

Let  $\Gamma$  be a (discrete) group. Regular representation:

$$\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow B(\ell^2\Gamma), \lambda(g)(\xi) = (h \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}h)).$$

**Reduced  $C^*$ -algebra:**  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span } \lambda(\Gamma)} \subset B(\ell^2(\Gamma)).$

Canonical trace:  $\tau(x) = (\xi_0 \mid x\xi_0)$  with  $\xi_0(h) = \delta_{h,e}$ ,  $\tau(\lambda(g)) = \delta_{g,e}$ .

Satisfies  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\tau(x^*x) \geq 0$ ,  $\tau(xy) = \tau(yx)$  for  $x, y \in C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

**$C^*$ -simplicity:**  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

**Unique Trace Property:**  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Examples: free group  $F_n$  [Powers 1975],  $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Amenability:** existence of  $\varepsilon : C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varepsilon(\lambda(g)) = 1 \ \forall g$ .

Then  $\varepsilon$  is a trace and  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$  is a non-trivial ideal. More generally the existence of a non trivial amenable normal subgroup  $N \triangleleft \Gamma$  is an obstruction to  $C^*$ -simplicity and the Unique Trace Property.

**Amenable radical**  $R_{\text{amen}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ : largest amenable normal subgroup.

## $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace

$C^*$ -simplicity:  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

Unique Trace Property:  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Amenable radical  $R_{\text{amen}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ : largest amenable normal subgroup.

$$C^*\text{-simple, UTP} \Rightarrow R_{\text{amen}} = \{1\}$$

## $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace

$C^*$ -simplicity:  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

Unique Trace Property:  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Amenable radical  $R_{\text{amen}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ : largest amenable normal subgroup.

**In fact:**  $C^*$ -simple  $\Rightarrow$  UTP  $\Leftrightarrow R_{\text{amen}} = \{1\}$

## C\*-simplicity and Uniqueness of trace

C\*-simplicity:  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

Unique Trace Property:  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Amenable radical  $R_{\text{amen}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ : largest amenable normal subgroup.

**In fact:**  $C^*$ -simple  $\Rightarrow$  UTP  $\Leftrightarrow R_{\text{amen}} = \{1\}$

Tool: Furstenberg, 1950s, topological dynamics

- notion of  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  compact
- universal  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$



## $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace

$C^*$ -simplicity:  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  has no non-trivial closed two-sided ideal.

Unique Trace Property:  $\tau$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$ .

Amenable radical  $R_{\text{amen}} \triangleleft \Gamma$ : largest amenable normal subgroup.

**In fact:**  $C^*$ -simple  $\Rightarrow$  UTP  $\Leftrightarrow R_{\text{amen}} = \{1\}$

Tool: Furstenberg, 1950s, topological dynamics

- notion of  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  compact
- universal  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$

**Theorem (Kalantar, Kennedy, Breuillard, Ozawa, 2014)**

$\Gamma$  is  $C^*$ -simple  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists a  $\Gamma$ -boundary with free action  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial_F \Gamma$  is free.

$\Gamma$  has the UTP  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists a  $\Gamma$ -boundary with faithful action  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\partial_F \Gamma$  is faithful.

Moreover  $\text{Ker}(\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma) = R_{\text{amen}}$ .

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

The action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  is:

- minimal if  $\forall x, y \in X \exists g_n \in \Gamma$  s.t.  $\lim g_n \cdot x = y$ ,  
in other words:  $\forall x \in X \overline{\Gamma \cdot x} = X$  ;
- proximal if  $\forall x, y \in X \exists g_n \in \Gamma$  s.t.  $\lim g_n \cdot x = \lim g_n \cdot y$  ;
- strongly proximal if  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$  proximal,  
or equivalently:  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ .

$X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -**boundary** if it is minimal and strongly proximal,  
or equivalently:  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$ .

Classical examples:

- $G$  connected simple Lie group,  $H < G$  maximal amenable,  $X = G/H$
- $\Gamma$  non elementary hyperbolic,  $X = \partial_G \Gamma$  Gromov boundary

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$  ( $X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -boundary)
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\text{Conv } \Gamma \cdot \nu}$

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu * \nu, f \rangle|$

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$
- iv)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$  is isometric on  $C(X)_{sa}$

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$
- iv)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$  is isometric



## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma), \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu} \quad (X \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-boundary})$
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X), f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$
- iv)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$  is isometric
- v) all unital positive  $\Gamma$ -maps  $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  are isometric  
(indeed  $T = P_\nu$  for  $\nu = \text{ev}_e \circ T$ )

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$  ( $X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -boundary)
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$
- iv)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$  is isometric
- v) all unital positive  $\Gamma$ -maps  $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  are isometric
- vi) all unital positive  $\Gamma$ -maps  $T : C(X) \rightarrow B$  are isometric

## Boundary actions

Continuous action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$  on  $X$  compact.

We have  $X \subset \text{Prob}(X)$  via Dirac measures and  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Prob}(X)$ .

Convolution operations:  $\mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \mu * \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$   
 $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X) \rightarrow P_\nu(f) = \nu * f \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$

The following assertions are equivalent:

- i)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad X \subset \overline{\Gamma \cdot \nu}$  ( $X$  is a  $\Gamma$ -boundary)
- ii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad \text{Prob}(X) = \overline{\{\mu * \nu, \mu \in \text{Prob}(\Gamma)\}}$
- iii)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ ,  $f \in C(X)_{sa} \quad \|f\| = \sup_\mu |\langle \mu, \nu * f \rangle|$
- iv)  $\forall \nu \in \text{Prob}(X) \quad P_\nu$  is isometric
- v) all unital positive  $\Gamma$ -maps  $T : C(X) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  are isometric
- vi) all unital positive  $\Gamma$ -maps  $T : C(X) \rightarrow B$  are isometric

Quantum case: no sets  $X$ ,  $\Gamma$  anymore but

- noncommutative “function” algebras  $C(\mathbb{X})$ ,  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$
- state spaces  $\text{Prob}(\mathbb{X}) = S(C(\mathbb{X}))$ ,  $\text{Prob}(\Gamma) = S_*(\ell^\infty(\Gamma))$

# Outline

- 1 Classical facts
  - Reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras
  - $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace
  - Boundary actions
- 2 The quantum case
  - Discrete quantum groups
  - Actions of quantum groups
  - Quantum Furstenberg boundary
  - The amenable radical
- 3 An example
  - Orthogonal free quantum groups
  - The Gromov boundary of  $FO(Q)$
  - Unique stationarity
- 4 Faithful boundary actions
  - Uniqueness of trace

# Discrete quantum groups

A discrete quantum group  $\mathbb{\Gamma}$  is given by :

- a von Neumann algebra  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I}^{\ell^\infty} B(H_\alpha)$  with  $\dim H_\alpha < \infty$
- a normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Delta : \ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}) \bar{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma})$  such that  $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$  (coproduct)
- left and right  $\Delta$ -invariant nsf weights  $h_L, h_R$  on  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma})$

Denote  $\ell^2(\mathbb{\Gamma}) = L^2(\ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}), h_L)$  the GNS space for  $h_L$ .

$\mathbb{\Gamma}$  is **unimodular** if  $h_L = h_R$ .

The coproduct induces a tensor product  $\pi \otimes \rho := (\pi \otimes \rho)\Delta$  for representations  $\pi, \rho$  of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}) \rightarrow$  tensor  $C^*$ -category  $\text{Corep}(\mathbb{\Gamma})$ .

Classical case:  $\mathbb{\Gamma} = \Gamma = I$ ,  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{\Gamma}) = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ ,  $\Delta(f) = ((r, s) \mapsto f(rs))$ ,  
 $h_L(f) = h_R(f) = \sum_{r \in \Gamma} f(r)$ .

## Actions of quantum groups

Canonical dense subalgebra :  $c_0(\Gamma) \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  given by  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} c_0$ .

A  $\Gamma$ -**C\*-algebra** is a C\*-algebra  $A$  equipped with a \*-homomorphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(c_0(\Gamma) \otimes A)$  such that  $(\text{id} \otimes \alpha)\alpha = (\Delta \otimes \text{id})\alpha$  (coaction).

For  $a \in A$ ,  $\nu \in A^*$ ,  $\mu \in c_0(\Gamma)^*$  we can then define

$$L_\mu(a) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})\alpha(a) \in M(A),$$

$$P_\nu(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \nu)\alpha(a) \in \ell^\infty(\Gamma),$$

$$\mu * \nu = (\mu \otimes \nu)\alpha \in A^*.$$

A  $\Gamma$ -**map**  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is a linear map such that  $T \circ L_\mu = L_\mu \circ T$ .

Classical case:  $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ ,  $A = C_0(X)$ ,  $\alpha(f) = ((r, x) \mapsto f(r \cdot x))$ .

Example:  $A = c_0(\Gamma)$ ,  $\alpha = \Delta$  “translation action”.

By invariance, the maps  $L_\mu$  extend to bounded operators on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ .

→ C\*-algebra  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma) = \overline{\text{Span}} \{L_\mu\}$  with state  $h = (\xi_0 \mid \cdot \xi_0)$ .

**Note:**  $h$  is a trace  $\Leftrightarrow \Gamma$  unimodular.

## Quantum Furstenberg boundary

In the noncommutative framework it is better to work with **completely** positive (resp. isometric) maps  $T : A \rightarrow B$ , i.e. such that  $T \otimes \text{id} : M_n(A) \rightarrow M_n(B)$  is positive (resp. isometric) for all  $n$ .

### Definition (KKS<sub>V</sub>, after Kalantar-Kennedy and Hamana)

A unital  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -boundary if every UCP  $\Gamma$ -map  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is automatically UCI.

This has good categorical properties :  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow A$  is an “essential extension” in the category of unital  $\Gamma$ - $C^*$ -algebras with UCP  $\Gamma$ -maps as morphisms and UCI  $\Gamma$ -maps as embeddings.

### Theorem (KKS<sub>V</sub>, after Hamana)

*There exists a universal  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $C(\partial_F \Gamma)$ . For any  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $A$  there exists a unique  $\Gamma$ -equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism  $T : A \rightarrow C(\partial_F \Gamma)$ .*

# The amenable radical

Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(C_0(\Gamma) \otimes A)$  be a coaction.

## Definition

The cokernel  $N_\alpha \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  of  $\alpha$  is the weak closure of  $\{P_\nu(a), a \in A, \nu \in A^*\}$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is faithful if  $N_\alpha = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .

The subspace  $M = N_\alpha$  is a *Baaj–Vaes subalgebra*:  $\Delta(M) \subset M \bar{\otimes} M$ .

In the classical case this implies  $M = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)^\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda \triangleleft \Gamma$ , and for  $M = N_\alpha$  we have  $\Lambda = \text{Ker } \alpha$ . In the quantum case a Baaj–Vaes subalgebra  $M$  is not necessarily associated to a subgroup  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  — it rather corresponds to a subgroup of the dual...



## The amenable radical

Let  $\alpha : A \rightarrow M(c_0(\Gamma) \otimes A)$  be a coaction.

### Definition

The cokernel  $N_\alpha \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  of  $\alpha$  is the weak closure of  $\{P_\nu(a), a \in A, \nu \in A^*\}$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is faithful if  $N_\alpha = \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .

The subspace  $M = N_\alpha$  is a *Baaj–Vaes subalgebra*:  $\Delta(M) \subset M \bar{\otimes} M$ .

A  $\Gamma$ -invariant subalgebra  $M \subset \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  is called *relatively amenable* if there exists a UCP  $\Gamma$ -map  $T : \ell^\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow M$ .

### Theorem (KKSV)

*The cokernel  $N_F$  of  $\Gamma \curvearrowright \partial_F \Gamma$  is the unique minimal relatively amenable Baaj–Vaes subalgebra of  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ .*

Hence there exists a faithful  $\Gamma$ -boundary **iff**  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  has no proper relatively amenable Baaj–Vaes subalgebra.

# Outline

- 1 Classical facts
  - Reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras
  - $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace
  - Boundary actions
- 2 The quantum case
  - Discrete quantum groups
  - Actions of quantum groups
  - Quantum Furstenberg boundary
  - The amenable radical
- 3 An example
  - Orthogonal free quantum groups
  - The Gromov boundary of  $FO(Q)$
  - Unique stationarity
- 4 Faithful boundary actions
  - Uniqueness of trace

# Orthogonal free quantum groups

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q \in GL_N(\mathbb{C})$  s.t.  $Q\bar{Q} = \pm I_N$ .

One defines a “Woronowicz  $C^*$ -algebra” by generators and relations:

$$A_o(Q) = C^*\langle 1, u_{ij} \mid uu^* = u^*u = I_n, Q\bar{u}Q^{-1} = u \rangle,$$

$$\Delta : A_o(Q) \rightarrow A_o(Q) \otimes A_o(Q), u_{ij} \mapsto \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}.$$

Here  $\bar{u} = (u_{ij}^*)_{ij}$ . This algebra has a unique bi-invariant state  $h \rightarrow$  reduced algebra  $\pi_h(A_o(Q)) = C_{\text{red}}^*(FO(Q))$ .

One can then construct  $\text{Corep}(FO(Q))$  and the dual algebra...

We have  $\ell^\infty(FO(Q)) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}}^{\ell^\infty} B(H_k)$ , with  $H_0 = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $H_1 = \mathbb{C}^N$  and

$$H_k \otimes H_1 \simeq H_1 \otimes H_k \simeq H_{k-1} \oplus H_{k+1} \quad (k \geq 1)$$

in the tensor category of representations of  $\ell^\infty(FO(Q))$ .

The terminology comes from the following “classical” quotients of  $A_o(I_N)$ :

$$A_o(I_N)/(u_{ij}, i \neq j) \simeq C^*((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{*N}), \quad A_o(I_N)/([u_{ij}, u_{kl}]) \simeq C(O_N).$$

## The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$

**Classical case:** free group  $\Gamma = \Gamma = F_N$ .

Word length:  $|g|$ , spheres:  $S_K = \{g \in F_N; |g| = k\}$ .

Gromov boundary  $\partial_G F_N$ : set of infinite reduced words.

It can be described as a projective limit:  $\partial_G F_N = \varprojlim (S_k, \rho_k)$   
 where  $\rho_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_k$  “forgets last letter”.

**Quantum case:**  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}O(Q)$ ,  $N \geq 3$ .

We have  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0}^{\ell^\infty} B(H_k)$  and isometries  $V_k : H_{k+1} \rightarrow H_k \otimes H_1$ .

Define connecting maps  $r_k : B(H_k) \rightarrow B(H_{k+1})$ ,  $r_k(f) = V_k^*(f \otimes 1)V_k$  and

$$C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)) = \varinjlim (B(H_k), r_k).$$

By construction we have  $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O(Q))/c_0(\mathbb{F}O(Q))$ .

### Theorem (Vaes-Vergnioux 2007)

$C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q))$  is a sub- $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$ - $C^*$ -algebra of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O(Q))/c_0(\mathbb{F}O(Q))$ .

# The Gromov boundary of $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$

**Quantum case:**  $\Gamma = \mathbb{F}O(Q)$ ,  $N \geq 3$ .

We have  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0}^{\ell^\infty} B(H_k)$  and isometries  $V_k : H_{k+1} \rightarrow H_k \otimes H_1$ .

Define connecting maps  $r_k : B(H_k) \rightarrow B(H_{k+1})$ ,  $r_k(f) = V_k^*(f \otimes 1)V_k$  and

$$C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)) = \varinjlim (B(H_k), r_k).$$

By construction we have  $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)) \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O(Q))/c_0(\mathbb{F}O(Q))$ .

## Theorem (Vaes-Vergnioux 2007)

$C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q))$  is a sub- $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$ - $C^*$ -algebra of  $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{F}O(Q))/c_0(\mathbb{F}O(Q))$ .

We have “quantum traces”  $\text{qtr}_k : B(H_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\text{qtr}_{k+1} \circ r_k = \text{qtr}_k$ .

We get a state  $\omega = \varinjlim \text{qtr}_k$  on  $C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q))$  and the corresponding reduced algebra  $C_{\text{red}}(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)) = \pi_\omega(C(\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)))$ .

## Unique stationarity

Choose  $\mu \in S(c_0(\Gamma))$ . A state  $\nu \in S(A)$  is  $\mu$ -stationary if  $\mu * \nu = \nu$ .

### Proposition (Kalantar)

Assume that  $A$  admits a **unique**  $\mu$ -stationary state  $\nu$  and that  $P_\nu$  is completely isometric. Then  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -boundary.

One checks that  $\omega$  is  $\mu$ -stationary for  $\mu = \text{qtr}_1 \in B(H_1)^* \subset c_0(\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q))^*$ .

### Theorem (Vaes-Vergnioux)

Assume  $N \geq 3$ . Then  $P_\omega$  extends to a normal  $*$ -isomorphism between  $C_{\text{red}}(\partial\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q))''$  and the space of harmonic functions  $H_\mu^\infty(\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q))$ .

### Theorem (KKSv)

For  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\omega$  is the unique  $\mu$ -stationary state on  $C(\partial_G\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q))$ .  
Hence  $C_{\text{red}}(\partial_G\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q))$  is an  $\mathbb{F}\mathcal{O}(Q)$ -boundary.

# Outline

- 1 Classical facts
  - Reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras
  - $C^*$ -simplicity and Uniqueness of trace
  - Boundary actions
- 2 The quantum case
  - Discrete quantum groups
  - Actions of quantum groups
  - Quantum Furstenberg boundary
  - The amenable radical
- 3 An example
  - Orthogonal free quantum groups
  - The Gromov boundary of  $FO(Q)$
  - Unique sationarity
- 4 Faithful boundary actions
  - Uniqueness of trace

# Uniqueness of trace

## Theorem (KKS $\nu$ )

Assume that  $\Gamma$  acts faithfully on some  $\Gamma$ -boundary  $A$ . Then:

- if  $\Gamma$  is unimodular,  $h$  is the unique trace on  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  ;
- else  $C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma)$  does not admit any KMS state wrt the scaling group.

## Theorem (KKS $\nu$ )

For  $N \geq 3$ ,  $\mathbb{F}O(Q)$  acts faithfully on  $\partial_G \mathbb{F}O(Q)$ .

Note: uniqueness of trace was already proved in [Vaes–Vergnioux]. In the non-unimodular case, the absence of  $\tau$ -KMS state is new.

**Questions.** In the unimodular case, does uniqueness of trace imply the existence of a faithful boundary action?

What about free actions and  $C^*$ -simplicity?